Elie Yazbek

The Individual as Cosmos

Personal Eschatology and the Sacred Humanism of The Life of Chuck (Mike Flanagan, US 2024)

> We know that a dream can be real, but whoever thought that reality could be a dream? We exist, of course, but how, in what way? As we believe, as flesh-and-blood human beings? Or are we simply parts of someone's feverish, complicated nightmare?
>
> The Twilight Zone, Season 2 / Episode 62

Abstract

Mike Flanagan's 2025 adaptation of Stephen King's *The Life of Chuck* presents a radical reconfiguration of apocalyptic imagination in contemporary cinema. Rejecting spectacle-driven depictions of collective annihilation, the film reframes the end of the world as the death of a single individual, which unfolds through a reverse-chronological narrative that aligns cosmic dissolution with neurological decline. Drawing on Walt Whitman's transcendentalist poetics – particularly the line "I am large, I contain multitudes" – as well as Christian eschatology, post-theistic spirituality, and religion-and-film scholarship, this article argues that The Life of Chuck (US 2025) articulates what it terms "sacred humanism". In this framework, individual consciousness functions as a sovereign universe, memory becomes liturgical, and death signifies the extinction of an entire cosmos of meaning rather than the judgment of a soul. Through close analysis of the film's three acts and comparative reference to other religiously inflected films, the article positions The Life of Chuck as a significant cinematic meditation on mortality, interior sacredness, and personal eschatology in a secular age.

Keywords

Personal Eschatology, The Life of Chuck (Mike Flanagan, US 2025), Apocalyptic Cinema, Post-theistic Spirituality, Consciousness and Finitude

Biography

Elie Yazbek is the director of the Doctoral School for Human and Social Sciences at Saint-Joseph University of Beirut, Lebanon, where he was director of the Institute of Theatrical, Audiovisual and Cinematographic Studies from 2011 to 2020. His teaching there includes

DOI 10.25364/05.12:2026.2.7

courses on directing and film theory. He also directs seminars in Finland, France, and Greece and is a guidance counselor at the Institut des métiers du cinéma in Benin, one of the leading audiovisual institutes in Africa, where he has taught since 2011. He has made a number of documentaries and published essays, including *Regards sur le cinéma libanais, 1990–2010* (2012) and *Montage et idéologie dans le cinéma américain contemporain* (2010), edited volumes and plays. He publishes in both Lebanese and other languages.

Introduction: The Internal Apocalypse

Apocalypse has long occupied a central place in both religious imagination and cinematic spectacle. From biblical visions in the Book of Revelation to modern disaster films, the "end" is typically imagined as a collective, external event, a rupture in history marked by divine intervention, cosmic judgment, or planetary destruction. Cinema has amplified these motifs, rendering apocalypse as visual excess – cities collapsing, skies darkening, and oceans rising. Films such as The Day the Earth Stood Still (Robert Wise, US 1951), Armageddon (Michael Bay, US 1998), and 2012 (Roland Emerich, US/CA 2009) exemplify a tradition in which the end of the world is spectacular, communal, and externally imposed.

Mike Flanagan's The Life of Chuck (US 2025), adapted from Stephen King's novella of the same name,[1] offers a striking departure from this paradigm. Rather than depicting the end of the world as a universal catastrophe, the film presents apocalypse as an intimate, interior event: the death of an ordinary man named Charles "Chuck" Krantz.[2] The world does not end because of divine wrath, nuclear war, or ecological collapse; it ends because Chuck's brain shuts down. The film thus collapses the distinction between cosmic eschatology and personal mortality, suggesting that in a meaningful sense the end of a single consciousness is the end of a world.

This inversion aligns with a broader shift in contemporary religious thought, particularly within post-theistic and humanistic spiritual frameworks, which relocate the sacred from transcendent realms to immanent human experience.[3] The British philosopher Don Cupitt defines *post-theism*

1 Stephen King's *If It Bleeds* (New York: Scribner, 2020) is a collection of four novellas: "Mr. Harrigan's Phone", "The Life of Chuck", "If It Bleeds", and "Rat".

2 For the apocalypse in literature see e. g. Zamora 1989.

3 See e. g. Rahner 1978.

as religion after the collapse of belief in a metaphysical, external God – a spiritual framework grounded in human meaning, language, and finitude rather than supernatural transcendence.[4] By moving away from traditional views of a distant deity, this perspective suggests that spiritual depth is something created through human stories and shared values rather than something inherited from a higher power. The philosophical backbone of humanistic spirituality informing this approach is based on Charles Taylor's model: Taylor describes how modern subjects experience "fullness" within the "immanent frame". This concept refers to a modern outlook in which people find profound meaning, moral depth, and purpose entirely within the natural world and their everyday lives, without recourse to transcendence. Essentially, these frameworks propose that the most sacred aspects of existence are found in the richness of human consciousness and experience itself.[5] Within religion-and-film studies, cinema is frequently understood as a medium uniquely capable of portraying interior experience – memory, perception, and subjectivity – as dimensions in which sacred meaning manifests.[6] The Life of Chuck exemplifies this capacity by rendering consciousness as cosmos and memory as liturgy. The theological vision articulated by The Life of Chuck may be most coherently described as a form of "sacred humanism": a mode of sacrality grounded not in transcendence, afterlife, or divine judgment, but in the irreducible interiority of finite human consciousness. In this framework, the individual does not merely inhabit a world governed by external order; rather, a world is *constituted* by memory, perception, and relation, and its "end" coincides with the extinction of that constituting consciousness. Death, accordingly, is not framed as the soul's passage into another realm but as the extinction of an internally generated cosmos of meaning. This conception echoes the wisdom tradition of the scriptural text *Ecclesiastes*, in which the final horizon of human existence is not judgment or reward but the fragile, vanishing totality of lived experience itself.[7] Walt Whitman (1819–1892), in *Leaves of Grass* (1855), celebrates the expansive, plural nature of the self, declaring in the poem "Song of Myself", "Do I contradict myself? / Very well, then I contradict myself, / (I am large, I contain multitudes.)" The final line expresses a vision of the individual as inherently complex and capable of en-

4 Cupitt 1997, 45–72.
5 Taylor 2007, 539–593; Taylor 1989.
6 Plate 2008; Marsh 2007.
7 Eccl. 12:1–7.

compassing diverse identities, experiences, and perspectives, which has often been interpreted in literary and philosophical scholarship as a model of internal plurality and human universality.[8] Whitman's claim acquires a rigorously eschatological dimension within the film: what ends at Chuck's death is not simply a biological organism but an entire universe of lived experience. The film does not deny the gravity traditionally assigned to apocalypse; rather, it relocates it from the destruction of the external cosmos to the collapse of an interior one. In doing so, The Life of Chuck transforms eschatology from a doctrine of cosmic termination to a phenomenology of personal finitude in which the sacred is encountered not beyond death but precisely in the fragile, time-bound totality of a single human life.[9]

Apocalypse Reconsidered: Eschatology in Religion and Film

To appreciate the theological significance of The Life of Chuck, it is necessary first to situate it within the broader discourse of eschatology in religion and film. Traditionally, eschatology refers to doctrines concerning "the last things": death, judgment, heaven or hell. In Christian theology, these concepts are typically divided into *collective eschatology* (the fate of the cosmos and humanity as a whole) and *personal eschatology* (the destiny of the individual soul).[10]

Cinema has overwhelmingly favored collective eschatology. Even when films engage religious themes, they often externalize theological anxiety through global catastrophe. Conrad Ostwalt has observed that apocalyptic cinema frequently serves as a secularized expression of religious fear, translating theological concepts into spectacle-driven narratives.[11] In doing so, such films can avoid direct engagement with personal mortality, instead projecting existential dread onto planetary destruction.

The Life of Chuck reverses this logic. The film begins with what appears to be a collective apocalypse – failing infrastructure, vanishing stars, and so-

8 Whitman 2001 (1855), 78–82.

9 See e. g. Becker 1973; Eliade 1953.

10 Eschatology derives from the Greek *eschatos* ("last") and *logos* ("study" or "discourse"), referring broadly to the study of final events. In Christian theology, the end appears in biblical texts such as Daniel, Matt. 24, 1 Cor. 15, and Revelation, encompassing the ultimate fate of individuals and the cosmos. See e. g. Doyle 2022; Goss 1981.

11 Ostwalt 1998, 2–4; 11–12.

cial collapse[12] – but gradually reveals that this "world" exists entirely within the mind of a dying man. The cosmic scale of destruction is not disproportional; it is appropriate, because a universe is ending. This reframing draws on phenomenological and transcendentalist traditions.[13]

Importantly, this approach does not deny the emotional power of apocalyptic imagery. Rather, it reclaims that power for the individual. The terror, beauty, and grief associated with the end of the world are no longer abstract or distant. Here they are the intimate realities of dying.

The film's reverse chronology makes this interior apocalypse visible from the outset, beginning not with origins but with endings, and frames death itself as an eschatological event.

Act III as the First Act

The film's opening act – chronologically its final one – presents a world undergoing a subdued collapse. Rather than erupting into spectacle or mass panic, society appears to be quietly unraveling. Communication systems falter without explanation, digital infrastructures fail, and environmental stability deteriorates. Even the night sky becomes unreliable, as stars begin to disappear one by one. The tone is marked less by fear than by confusion and resignation, establishing from the outset that the film's catastrophe is driven not by visible antagonists or divine intervention but by an unseen and incomprehensible process.

Within this atmosphere of gradual dissolution, a recurring visual motif initially defies narrative logic. Across public spaces, roadside billboards, television advertisements, storefront screens, and digital signage, messages appear bearing the same phrase, "39 great years! Thanks, Chuck!", next to a smiling image of a local accountant. These messages are omnipresent yet unexplained. No information is provided about who Chuck is, why he is being thanked, or who has authorized these public displays. Their cheerful tone sits uneasily alongside the world's decline, creating a dissonance that is both comic and disturbing. For viewers encountering the film without prior

12 The imagery deliberately recalls the cosmic language of Revelation – darkened skies, failing structures, a world losing coherence (Rev. 6:12–14; 21:1) – but here these signs do not announce divine judgment; they mark the disintegration of a single consciousness.

13 Heidegger 1962, 279–311; Taylor 2007, 539–593; Marion 1991.

knowledge of its premise, these messages function as a riddle embedded within the apocalyptic setting.

Crucially, the film offers no immediate clarification. The messages are not introduced through exposition, nor are they commented upon by authorities or institutions within the narrative world. Instead, they simply appear and persist, interrupting ordinary systems of public communication with an expression of intimate gratitude that seems radically out of place. Their mysterious ubiquity suggests that they are the product of a deeper narrative logic that has yet to be disclosed.

Amid these unfolding phenomena, two characters attempt to locate meaning by invoking Carl Sagan's Cosmic Calendar,[14] a metaphor that condenses the entire history of the universe into a single calendar year to illustrate humanity's fleeting place within cosmic time. In the film, high-school teacher Marty Anderson explains this concept to his ex-wife, Felicia Gordon, during discussions of the world's unraveling; if the Big Bang took place on January 1 and the present moment maps onto December 31, then all of human history occupies only the final moments of that year. This conversation underscores how vanishingly brief human existence is when measured on a cosmic scale.

Read alongside the film's recurring invocation of Walt Whitman – first heard in the opening scene, when a student cites *"Song of Myself"* in the very moment the catastrophe begins – the Cosmic Calendar acquires a more intimate resonance. Even though humanity occupies only the final seconds of cosmic time, Whitman's claim that the individual "contains multitudes" suggests that an entire universe can unfold within a single life. The film quietly aligns these perspectives: cosmic vastness is mirrored and recapitulated within consciousness itself. What is at stake in the apparent apocalypse, then, is not merely the insignificance of human life against an infinite backdrop, but the extinction of a richly populated interior world. The collapse of the cosmos is inseparable from the collapse of the multitudes that have constituted Chuck's lived reality. Only gradually, through delayed revelation and shifts in perspective, does the film begin to reframe the meaning of these messages. The apparent apocalypse is revealed not as a global event,

14 The concept was first popularized by Carl Sagan in his book *The Dragons of Eden* (1977) and in his television documentary series Cosmos: A Personal Voyage (PBS, US 1980) and its companion book, *Cosmos* (1980). Sagan goes on to extend the comparison in terms of surface area, explaining that if the Cosmic Calendar were scaled to the size of a football field, then "all of human history would occupy an area the size of [his] hand".

but as the subjective experience of Charles "Chuck" Krantz, a 39-year-old man whose consciousness is failing due to a terminal brain tumor. With this disclosure, the logic of the billboards undergoes a decisive transformation. What initially appeared to be public expressions of collective gratitude are reinterpreted as manifestations arising from within Chuck's own perceptual world. The collapsing systems of society mirror the breakdown of cognitive function, and the messages of thanks emerge as the final symbolic expressions generated by a mind approaching its end.

Understood in this light, the public form of the messages becomes especially significant. Billboards and advertisements – normally instruments of mass address – are repurposed as vehicles for something intensely personal. The world that appears to be thanking Chuck is, in fact, the world as he has known and remembered it. Every relationship, encounter, and fleeting interaction that has constituted his experience finds expression in this ritualized final gesture of acknowledgment. The repetition of "Thanks, Chuck!" thus takes on the character of a farewell articulated by the accumulated contents of a single life, rather than by a transcendent authority.

Viewed through the lens of the Cosmic Calendar, the film's conceptual inversion deepens: the "end of the world" is not a universal cosmic finale but the closing moment of one individual's internal universe. Just as the Cosmic Calendar compresses billions of years into a year, showing that human history occupies only its final moments, Chuck's internal world collapses into the final moments of his life, rendering his subjective experience of time both finite and immense. The opening act thus establishes the film's central thesis – no longer is eschatology framed as a cosmic event imposed from beyond, for it is the internal conclusion of an individual consciousness. It is from this inversion that the present study begins, taking the film's opening act as the point at which questions of theology, subjectivity, and meaning converge. By situating apocalypse within the life of a single ordinary individual, The Life of Chuck reframes death itself as an eschatological event, not of the cosmos at large, but of a world uniquely and irreducibly one's own.

Having established death as the point at which an entire world collapses, the film turns to the life that precedes this ending, shifting attention from dissolution to presence.

The Middle Act

The film's second act – chronologically the middle of Chuck's life – shifts abruptly in tone and scale. Gone are the signs of collapse and cosmic instability that define the opening sequence. Instead, the narrative settles into an apparently ordinary urban environment, following the adult Charles Krantz as he navigates the routines of work and public life. There is no overt crisis, no looming catastrophe, and no explicit reference to the revelations that structured the film's opening act. This return to normalcy grounds the film in the textures of everyday existence.

The act's central moment occurs in a public square, where Chuck encounters a street drummer performing an improvised rhythm. A brief but striking interruption of narrative momentum follows: Chuck begins to dance. The sequence lasts more than five minutes and carries a disproportionate emotional and conceptual weight within the film. Passersby pause to watch, an unknown woman joins Chuck, while others simply observe before continuing on their way. No explanation is offered for Chuck's behavior, and no narrative consequences follow from it. The moment appears, unfolds, and passes without resolution.

Importantly, the scene is not framed as performance or spectacle. Chuck is not presented as exceptional, talented, or seeking attention. His movements are spontaneous, unpolished, and unremarkable in any technical sense. The camera does not elevate the dance through elaborate choreography or dramatic framing; instead, it remains attentive to the physical immediacy of the moment – gesture, rhythm, breath. The surrounding environment continues its ordinary flow, reinforcing the sense that nothing "important", in conventional narrative terms, is happening.

Yet within the structure of the film, this moment functions as a point of concentration. Removed from the apocalyptic framing of the first part of the film and the formative revelations of, as we will see, its final part, the dance exists entirely within the present. It neither anticipates the future nor reflects explicitly on the past. Its significance lies precisely in its lack of justification. The scene does not advance plot, develop character psychology, or resolve thematic questions. Instead, it foregrounds presence itself as worthy of attention.

In the context of the film, Act II thus serves as a counterbalance to both the inevitability revealed elsewhere and the metaphysical weight carried by the narrative's endpoints. By isolating a fleeting, purposeless act within

an otherwise ordinary life, The Life of Chuck grants narrative space to an experience that resists instrumental meaning. The dance does not explain Chuck's life, nor does it redeem or transform it. It simply occurs.

This restraint is essential. Act II does not articulate a theology of presence or sacredness; those interpretations will emerge from the film's overall structure. This act's function is more modest but no less crucial: it insists that a life is not composed solely of origins and endings, for it contains moments that exist without reference to either. The film thus creates space for the present moment to stand on its own, unburdened by narrative necessity but central to the life it momentarily interrupts.

And yet such moments cannot be understood apart from the conditions under which a life begins and the knowledge that quietly frames it.[15]

Act I as the Final Act

The film's final act – chronologically the beginning – moves the narrative into the early life of Charles Krantz, providing the formative experiences that shape his understanding of himself and the world. Raised by his grandparents after the death of his parents in a car accident, young Chuck lives in a Victorian house marked by stability, loss, and quiet mystery. Among its ordinary rooms is a locked cupola, a small space at the top of a staircase that his grandfather insists his grandson must never enter. The prohibition lends the cupola a mythic quality, hinting at unfinished business or hidden truths.

Chuck's early life is punctuated by moments of joy and loss. His grandmother, Sarah, encourages his love of dance, a motif that will recur throughout his life. His grandfather, Albie, alternates encouragement with caution, pushing him toward conventional pursuits such as accounting. Yet even within these familial rhythms, echoes of larger questions emerge. In one classroom scene, Chuck's teacher reads from Walt Whitman's "Song of Myself", introducing him to the poem's most quoted line: "I am large, I contain multitudes." When Chuck asks what this means, she places her hands gently on his cheeks and explains that it is a way of understanding the richness and complexity of human experience – a single person can contain many possibilities, identities, and memories.

15 See e. g. De Caussade 1989; Kearney 2002.

The timing of this moment is significant. As we have heard, Whitman's line is first spoken in the film's opening scene, as the world begins to unravel, as a link, we now recognize, to a childhood lesson and to cosmic collapse. The poem introduced here in Chuck's youth will frame his understanding of both life and death, binding the film's chronological beginning to its narrative end. What appears in this classroom as an affirmation of human complexity will later reveal itself to be the key to understanding why one man's death registers as the end of a world.

This encounter with Whitman does more than introduce a poetic phrase. It provides Chuck with a conceptual lens through which he begins to see his own life. The teacher's explanation anchors the film's central motif: human experience as a kind of internal universe. Rather than being a simple slogan or feel-good aphorism, the idea serves as a philosophical and emotional compass for Chuck's subsequent choices, grounding his awareness of self and others in a sense of expansive interiority.

The narrative tension of the final-but-first act is heightened by Chuck's relationship with the forbidden cupola. Throughout his childhood and adolescence, the room remains silent – its locked door a barrier both physical and symbolic. After his grandfather's death, Chuck inherits the house and, with it, the key to the cupola. When he finally enters, the film stages a moment of revelation: within the cupola, Chuck experiences a vision of his adult self on his deathbed, identifiable by a scar he acquired during a school dance.

Confronted with this vision, Chuck explicitly rejects any supernatural interpretation, insisting that what he sees is not a ghost or apparition. Instead, he describes himself as "wonderful", recognizing a truthful image of his own finitude rather than a visitation from beyond. In this moment, Chuck claims Whitman's language as his own, quietly affirming, "I am a multitude." What began as a classroom lesson crystallizes into ontological recognition: the world he contains – memories, relationships, unrealized futures – will end with him. This vision is presented not with shock or horror but with quiet inevitability. Rather than paralyzing him, this knowledge becomes a commitment to living fully within the boundaries of time and possibility. The phrase "I contain multitudes" thus transforms from poetic metaphor into both personal affirmation and thematic key, unlocking the deeper logic of the film's reverse chronology and binding Chuck's childhood to his death.

Act I, therefore, does not simply present Chuck's origin story. It establishes the conceptual and emotional foundation upon which the film's theology

of finitude rests – a theology that, while distinctive in its execution, participates in a broader cinematic reconfiguration of eschatology itself. The film's distinctive approach emerges more clearly when viewed alongside other works that have similarly relocated the sacred within finite human experience.

Comparative Perspectives

While The Life of Chuck has a distinctive narrative structure and tonal restraint, it participates in a broader cinematic movement that reconfigures eschatology around interior experience rather than cosmic finality. In contrast to classical apocalyptic cinema – where the end of the world is marked by external destruction, divine judgment, or collective catastrophe – these films locate ultimate meaning within the life of a single subject. The "end" no longer arrives as a universal event, for it is a personal horizon, shaped by memory, perception, and embodied experience.

A useful point of comparison is David Lowery's A Ghost Story (US 2017), which similarly abandons spectacle in favor of temporal and subjective dislocation. Lowery's film frames death not as a transition to transcendence, but as a prolonged confrontation with absence and persistence. The ghost's silent observation of a world that continues without him mirrors The Life of Chuck inversion of scale: significance is located not in what happens to the universe, but in what is lost when a single consciousness can no longer perceive it. Yet where A Ghost Story emphasizes duration and repetition, The Life of Chuck foregrounds finitude, structuring its narrative around a life that is complete precisely because it is bounded.

Terrence Malick's The Tree of Life (US 2011) offers another instructive parallel. Malick juxtaposes intimate family memory with cosmic imagery, drawing explicit connections between personal grief and the vastness of creation. However, The Tree of Life ultimately situates its interior reflections within a metaphysical framework that gestures toward transcendence and reconciliation beyond time. The Life of Chuck, by contrast, resists this upward movement. Its cosmology remains resolutely immanent: the universe it depicts expands and collapses entirely within the contours of an individual life, without recourse to an external metaphysical resolution.

In Wings of Desire (DE/FR 1987), Wim Wenders provides a compelling point of comparison, particularly in its treatment of interiority as a sacred

space.[16] Wenders' angels do not intervene in human history through divine power but attend silently to the inner lives of individuals, listening to their thoughts, fears, and memories as the true substance of the world. Meaning in the film is located not in cosmic order or eschatological destiny but in the fragile immediacy of human consciousness. When the angel Damiel chooses mortality, he does not descend into a fallen world as much as enter a finite one – accepting time, pain, and death as the price of having a lived interior life. Like The Life of Chuck, Wings of Desire thus frames finitude not as loss of transcendence but as the condition under which existence becomes meaningful at all.

A further comparison can be made with Charlie Kaufman's Synecdoche, New York (US 2008), which similarly treats the self as a world composed of innumerable internal relationships and representations. Kaufman's film dramatizes the impossibility of total self-comprehension, constructing an ever-expanding interior architecture that ultimately overwhelms its creator. While Synecdoche, New York emphasizes fragmentation and self-alienation, The Life of Chuck adopts a more restrained posture. Its vision of interiority is finite rather than proliferating, marked not by endless deferral but by eventual closure.

A similar interiorization of cosmic scale appears in the television series Pluribus (Apple TV, US 2025–present), which centers on the gradual realization that multiple seemingly distinct worlds and identities are in fact expressions of a single fractured consciousness. The series treats subjectivity not as a localized psychological state but as a world-generating structure, within which entire realities emerge, interact, and eventually collapse. What Pluribus dramatizes through parallel narratives and proliferating selves, The Life of Chuck renders through reverse chronology and interior apocalypse: the idea that the destruction of a single consciousness entails the disappearance of an entire experiential universe. In both cases, eschatology is no longer a cosmic or theological event; it is now a phenomenological one, marked by the dissolution of the subject that sustains meaning itself.[17]

16 Elsaesser 1989.

17 Regarding this conception of subjectivity, Husserl's phenomenology defines consciousness as world-constituting rather than world-reflecting (Husserl 1970, 48–67), while Ricœur shows how memory and narrative organize this constituted world into a coherent horizon of meaning (Ricœur 2004, 412–451). Together, they provide the conceptual basis for understanding how the collapse of a single subject can entail the disappearance of an entire experiential universe.

Across these examples, a shared concern emerges: the relocation of religious or existential significance from transcendent structures to interior experience. What distinguishes The Life of Chuck within this constellation is its explicit framing of an individual life as an eschatological event. The film does not merely depict interiority as meaningful; it treats the cessation of that interior world as equivalent, in narrative weight, to the end of a cosmos. In doing so, it aligns with contemporary cinematic efforts to articulate forms of sacred meaning that arise from lived experience rather than doctrinal systems or supernatural intervention.

Seen in this comparative light, The Life of Chuck contributes to an emerging cinematic theology of finitude in which the ultimate questions traditionally addressed by religion are reframed through the ordinary contours of human life. The film does not deny transcendence so much as render it unnecessary, finding ultimate significance in the bounded totality of a single existence. Its apocalypse is not universal, but it is complete.

Toward a Cinema of Sacred Humanism

Taken together, the films discussed in this study suggest the emergence of a cinematic orientation that might be described as "personal eschatology". Within this framework, the "end of the world" is no longer imagined as a collective future catastrophe; it is instead an individual certainty embedded within the limits of a single life. Sacred meaning is not sought in transcendent realms or deferred resolutions, for it is located within consciousness itself – within memory, perception, and the lived intensity of presence. Salvation, accordingly, is reframed: it is now fullness achieved before death, rather than deliverance from death.

The Life of Chuck differentiates itself within this developing tradition through its tonal and conceptual clarity. Where comparable films often dwell in ambiguity, fragmentation, or existential anxiety, Flanagan's work adopts a posture of affirmation. Its guiding emotional register is not dread or despair but gratitude. The recurring refrain "Thanks, Chuck" functions less as narrative device than as ritualized acknowledgment. It affirms a form of sacred humanism in which the value of a life lies not in its duration or transcendence but in its capacity to momentarily contain a world.

Rather than rejecting religious structures outright, the film subtly reconfigures them. Liturgical language is retained, but its referent shifts. Praise

is no longer directed toward a divine source beyond the world. It is now focused on the human consciousness that sustains meaning within the world. In this sense, The Life of Chuck does not so much abandon theology as translate it, offering a vision of sacred significance grounded entirely in finite human existence. This orientation ultimately finds its most concentrated expression in the film's closing meditation on death and loss.

Conclusion: The Cosmos of a Single Life

The Life of Chuck ultimately evokes the haunting idea that a person's death is akin to the burning of a library.[18] The film treats this metaphor not as sentiment but as ontological claim. Chuck's death does not mark merely the end of a biological life, for it also signals the extinction of a universe that existed nowhere else – a world composed of memories, relationships, perceptions, and unarticulated thoughts that cannot be replicated or retrieved.

The significance of this vision lies in its articulation of a mature, post-theistic spirituality. The film neither mocks religious tradition nor replaces it with cynicism. Instead, it relocates the sacred within the human person, redefining apocalypse as personal, eschatology as internal, and salvation as the capacity to be fully present within a finite life. This is not a metaphysical claim that reality is reducible to one mind; rather, it concerns how the film represents meaning as generated and sustained through consciousness, memory, and relation. Meaning is not deferred to an afterlife or guaranteed by transcendence. It is generated through lived experience and extinguished with it.

By thanking Chuck, the film ultimately thanks every individual for the worlds they carry and sustain, however briefly. In doing so, The Life of Chuck offers one of contemporary cinema's most resonant meditations on death – not as annihilation alone, but as the irrevocable loss of a singular and irreplaceable cosmos. It affirms the sacred dignity of ordinary life precisely because it ends, and because everything it contains ends with it.

The film offers a final, quietly explicit formulation of this vision. In the middle act, following Chuck's spontaneous dance, the voiceover reflects that Chuck will later wonder why God made the world, only to find the

18 Stephen King writes, "When an old man dies, a library burns", in "Mr. Harrigan's Phone", the first story in the collection *If It Bleeds*.

answer moments later in the fleeting, overwhelming happiness he has just experienced: "*that* is why God made the world". Read in light of the film's personal eschatology, this line does not reinstate a transcendent creator but names the sacred as immanent within lived intensity itself. What justifies existence is not a divine plan beyond time, but the fragile, radiant fullness of moments that briefly make a life feel complete. In this sense, The Life of Chuck affirms a sacred humanism in which meaning, joy, and even God are discovered within the finite interior world of a single human being.

Bibliography

Becker, Ernest, 1973, *The Denial of Death*, New York: Free Press.

Berger, Peter L., 1967, *The Sacred Canopy. Elements of a Sociological Theory of Religion*, New York: Anchor Books.

Cupitt, Don, 1997, *After God. The Future of Religion*, London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.

De Caussade, Jean-Pierre, 1989, *The Sacrament of the Present Moment*, translated by Kitty Muggeridge, New York: Image Books.

Doyle, Dominic, 2022, Eschatological Personalism. A Theological Response, *Religion, Brain & Behaviour* 12, 4, 452–456, https://doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2022.2050794.

Eliade, Mircea, 1959, *The Sacred and the Profane. The Nature of Religion*, New York: Harcourt.

Elsaesser, Thomas, 1989, *New German Cinema. A History*, London: British Film Institute.

Goss, James, 1981, Eschatology, Autonomy, and Individuation. The Evocative Power of the Kingdom, *Journal of the American Academy of Religion*, 49/3, 363–381.

Heidegger, Martin, 1962, *Being and Time*, translated by John Macquarrie and Edward Robinson, New York: Harper & Row.

Husserl, Edmund, 1970, *The Crisis of European Sciences and Transcendental Phenomenology*, Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press.

Kearney, Richard, 2002, *On Stories*, London: Routledge.

King, Stephen, 2020, *If It Bleeds*, New York: Scribner.

Marion, Jean-Luc, 1991, *God without Being*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Marsh, Clive, 2007, *Theology Goes to the Movies. An Introduction to Critical Christian Thinking*, London: Routledge.

Ostwalt, Conrad E., 1998, Visions of the End. Secular Apocalypse in Recent Hollywood Film, *Journal of Religion & Film* 2, 1, article 4, https://doi.org/10.32873/uno.dc.jrf.02.01.04.

Panneberg, Wolfhart, 1984, Constructive and Critical Functions of Christian Eschatology, *Harvard Theological Review* 77, 2, 119–139, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017816000014255.

Plate, S. Brent, 2008, *Religion and Film. Cinema and the Re-creation of the World*, London: Wallflower Press.

Rahner, Karl, 1978, *Foundations of Christian Faith*, New York: Seabury Press.

Ricœur, Paul, 2004, *Memory, History, Forgetting*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
Sagan, Carl, 1977, *The Dragons of Eden. Speculations on the Evolution of Human Intelligence*, New York: Random House.
Sagan, Carl, 1980, *Cosmos*, New York: Random House.
Taylor, Charles, 1989, *Sources of the Self. The Making of the Modern Identity*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
Taylor, Charles, 2007, *A Secular Age*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
Whitman, Walt, 2001 [1855], *Leaves of Grass*, New York: Modern Library.
Zamora, Lois Parkinson, 1989, *Writing the Apocalypse. Historical Vision in Contemporary U. S. and Latin American Fiction*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Filmography

2012 (Roland Emmerich, US/CA 2009).
A Ghost Story (David Lowery, US 2017).
Armageddon (Michael Bay, US 1998).
Cosmos: A Personal Voyage (Created by: Carl Sagan, Anne Druyan, and Steven Soter, PBS, US 1980).
Pluribus (Created by: Vince Gilligan, Apple TV, US 2025–present).
Synecdoche, New York (Charlie Kaufman, US 2008).
The Day the Earth Stood Still (Robert Wise, US 1951).
The Life of Chuck (Mike Flanagan, US 2025).
The Tree of Life (Terrence Malick, US 2011).
The Twilight Zone ("Shadow Play", Season 2 / Episode 62, Created by: Rod Serling, CBS, US 1961).
Wings of Desire (Der Himmel über Berlin, Wim Wenders, DE/FR 1987).